UNIT - IV

Data Analysis & Interpretation

ANALYSIS and INTERPRETATION provide answers to the research questions postulated in the study.

ANALYSIS means the ordering, manipulating, and summarizing of data to obtain answers to research questions. Its purpose is to reduce data to intelligible and interpretable form so that the relations of research problems can be studied and tested.

INTERPRETATION gives the results of analysis, makes inferences pertinent to the research relations studied, and draws conclusions about these relations.

Quantitative and qualitative

- Quantitative data expressed as numbers
- Qualitative data difficult to measure sensibly as numbers, e.g. count number of words to measure dissatisfaction
- Quantitative analysis numerical methods to ascertain size, magnitude, amount
- Qualitative analysis expresses the nature of elements and is represented as themes, patterns, stories
- Be careful how you manipulate data and numbers!

Simple quantitative analysis

- Averages
 - Mean: add up values and divide by number of data points
 - Median: middle value of data when ranked
 - Mode: figure that appears most often in the data
- Percentages
- Be careful not to mislead with numbers!
- Graphical representations give overview of data



Simple qualitative analysis

- Recurring patterns or themes
 - Emergent from data, dependent on observation framework if used
- Categorizing data
 - Categorization scheme may be emergent or pre-specified
- Looking for critical incidents
 - Helps to focus in on key events



Figure 8.8 Building the affinity diagram of Indian ATM usage Source: Figure 1, A. DeAngeli, U. Athavamker, A. Joshi, L. Coventry and G.I. Johnson (2004) "Introducing ATMs in India: a contextual inquiry", Interacting with Computers 16(1), 29–44, Reproduced with permission. **STATISTICS** is simply a tool in research. In fact, according to Leedy (1974:21), statistics is a language which, through its own special symbols and grammar, takes the numerical facts of life and translates them meaningfully.

Statistics thus gathers numerical data. The variations of the data gathered are abstracted based on group characteristics and combined to serve the purpose of description, analysis, interpretation, and possible generalization. According to McGuigan (1987), in research, this is known as the process of **concatenation** where the statements are "chained together" with other statements.

There are two kinds of statistics:

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS – allows the researcher to describe the population or sample used in the study.

INFERENTIAL STATISTICS – draws inferences from sample data and actually deals with answering the research questions postulated which are, in some cases, cause and effect relationship.

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

 describes the characteristics of the population or the sample. To make them meaningful, they are grouped according to the following measures:

- Measures of Central Tendency or Averages
 Measures of Dispersion or Variability
- Measures of Noncentral Location
- Measures of Symmetry and/or Asymmetry
- Measures of Peakedness or Flatness

Measures of Central Tendency or Averages. These include the mean, mode and the median. The *mean* is a measure obtained by adding all the values in a population or sample and dividing by the number of values that are added (Daniel, 1991:19-20). The mode is simply the most frequent score of scores (Blalock, 1972:72). The median is the value above and below which one half of the observations fall (Norusis, (1984:B-63)



Measures of Dispersion or Variability. These include the variance, standard deviation, and the range. According to Daniel (1991:24), a measure of dispersion conveys information regarding the amount of variability present in a set of idea.

The VARIANCE is a measure of the dispersion of the set of scores. It tells us how much the scores are spread out. Thus, the variance is a measure of the spread of the scores; it describes the extent to which the scores differ from each other about their mean.

STANDARD DEVIATION thus refers to the deviation of scores from the mean. Where ordinal measures of 1-5 scales (low-high) are used, data most likely have standard deviations of less than one unless, the responses are extremes (i.e., all ones and all fives).

The **RANGE** is defined as the difference between the highest and lowest scores (Blalock, 1972:77)

Measures of Noncentral Location. These include the quantiles (i.e., percentiles, deciles, quartiles). The word percent means "per hundred". Therefore, in using percentages, size is standardized by calculating the number of individuals who would be in a given category if the total number of cases were 100 and if the proportion in each category remains unchanged. Since proportions must add to unity, it is obvious that percentages will sum up to 100 unless the categories are not mutually exclusive or exhaustive (Blalock, 1972:33)



Measures of Symmetry and/or Asymmetry. These include the skewness of the frequency distribution. If a distribution is asymmetrical and the larger frequencies tend to be concentrated toward the low end of the variable and the smaller frequencies toward the high end, it is said to be positively skewed. If the opposite holds, the larger frequencies being concentrated toward the high end of the variable and the smaller frequencies toward the low end, the distribution is said to be negatively skewed (Ferguson and Takane, 1989:30).



Measures of Peakedness or Flatness of one distribution in relation to another is referred to as *Kurtosis*. If one distribution is more peaked than another, it may be spoken of as more *leptokurtic*. If it is less peaked, it is said to be more *platykurtic*.



- If the information about the population is completely known by means of its parameters then statistical test is called parametric test
- * Eg: t- test, f-test, z-test, ANOVA



- If there is no knowledge about the population or paramters, but still it is required to test the hypothesis of the population. Then it is called non-parametric test
- * Eg: mann-Whitney, rank sum test, Kruskal-Wallis test



Difference between parametric and Non parametric

Parametric

Non Parametric

Information about population is completely known No information about the population is available

Specific assumptions are made regarding the population

No assumptions are made regarding the population

Null hypothesis is made on parameters of the population distribution The null hypothesis is free from parameters

Difference between parametric and Non parametric						
Parametric	Non Parametric					
Test statistic is based on the distribution	Test statistic is arbritary					
Parametric tests are applicable only for variable	It is applied both variable and artributes					
No parametric test excist for Norminal scale data	Non parametric test do exist for norminal and ordinal scale data					
Parametric test is powerful, if it exist	It is not so powerful like parametric test					



NONPARAMETRIC TESTS

Two types of data are recognized in the application of statistical treatments, these are: *parametric data and nonparametric data*.

Parametric data are measured data and parametric statistical tests assume that the data are normally, or nearly normally, distributed (Best and Kahn, 1998:338).

Nonparametric data are *distribution free* samples which implies that they are free, of independent of the population distribution (Ferguson and Takane, 1989:431).

The tests on these data do not rest on the more stringent assumption of normally distributed population (Best and Kahn, 1998:338).

APPROPRIATE STATISTICAL METHODS BASED ON THE RESEARCH PROBLEM AND LEVELS OF MEASUREMENT

the statistical methods appropriate to any studies are always determined by the research problem and the measurement scale of the variables used in the study.

CHI - SQUARE

the most commonly used nonparametric test. It is employed in instances where a comparison between observed and theoretical frequencies is present, or in testing the mathematical fit of a frequency curve to an observed frequency distribution.



I provides the capability of computing student's t and probability levels for testing whether or not the difference between two samples means is significant (Nie, et al., 1975:267). This type of analysis is the comparison of two groups of subjects, with the group means as the basis for comparison.

Two types of T-tests may be performed:

Independent Samples - cases are classified into 2 groups and a test of mean differences is performed for specified variables;
 Paired Samples – for paired observations arrange casewise, a test of treatment effects is performed.

CORRELATION ANALYSIS

Correlation is used when one is interested to know the relationship between two or more paired variables. According to Blalock (1972:361), this is where interest is focused primarily on the exploratory task of finding out which variables are related to a given variable.

Nonparametric Methods

- I Sign Test
- Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test
- Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon Test
- I Kruskal-Wallis Test
- I Rank Correlation



Nonparametric Methods

- Most of the statistical methods referred to as parametric require the use of <u>interval</u>- or <u>ratio-scaled data</u>.
- Nonparametric methods are often the only way to analyze <u>nominal</u> or <u>ordinal data</u> and draw statistical conclusions.
- Nonparametric methods require no assumptions about the population probability distributions.
- Nonparametric methods are often called <u>distribution-</u> <u>free methods</u>.

Nonparametric Methods

- In general, for a statistical method to be classified as nonparametric, it must satisfy at least one of the following conditions.
 - The method can be used with nominal data.
 - The method can be used with ordinal data.
 - The method can be used with interval or ratio data when no assumption can be made about the population probability distribution.

Sign Test

- A common application of the <u>sign test</u> involves using a sample of *n* potential customers to identify a preference for one of two brands of a product.
- The objective is to determine whether there is a difference in preference between the two items being compared.
- To record the preference data, we use a plus sign if the individual prefers one brand and a minus sign if the individual prefers the other brand.
- Because the data are recorded as plus and minus signs, this test is called the sign test.

Sign Test: Small-Sample Case

- The small-sample case for the sign test should be used whenever n < 20.
- The hypotheses are



No preference for one brand over the other exists.

 $H_{\rm a}$: $p \neq .50$ A preference for one brand over the other exists.

- The number of plus signs is our test statistic.
- > Assuming H_0 is true, the sampling distribution for the test statistic is a binomial distribution with p = .5.
- $> \Box$ H_0 is rejected if the *p*-value \leq level of significance, α .

- Using H₀: p = .5 and n > 20, the sampling distribution for the number of plus signs can be approximated by a normal distribution.
- When no preference is stated (H₀: p = .5), the sampling distribution will have:

Mean:
$$\mu = .50n$$

Standard Deviation: $\sigma = \sqrt{.25n}$

The test statistic is:



> \square H_0 is rejected if the *p*-value \leq level of significance, α .

• Example: Ketchup Taste Test

As part of a market research study, a sample of 36 consumers were asked to taste two brands of ketchup and indicate a preference. Do the data shown on the next slide indicate a significant difference in the consumer preferences for the two brands?



Example: Ketchup Taste Test

18 preferred Brand A Ketchup (+ sign recorded)
12 preferred Brand B Ketchup (- sign recorded)
6 had no preference

The analysis will be based on a sample size of 18 + 12 = 30.



• Hypotheses



 $H_0: p = .50$

No preference for one brand over the other exists

> H_a: p ≠ .50

A preference for one brand over the other exists

• Sampling Distribution for Number of Plus Signs





• Rejection Rule

Using .05 level of significance: Reject H_0 if *p*-value $\leq .05$

I Test Statistic

$$z = (x - \mu)/\sigma = (18 - 15)/2.74 = 3/2.74 = 1.10$$

▶□ *p*-Value



Conclusion



Because the *p*-value > α , we cannot reject H_0 . There is insufficient evidence in the sample to conclude that a difference in preference exists for the two brands of ketchup.

- The Pearson correlation coefficient, r, is a measure of the linear association between two variables for which interval or ratio data are available.
- The Spearman rank-correlation coefficient, r_s, is a measure of association between two variables when only ordinal data are available.
- > I Values of r_s can range from -1.0 to +1.0, where
 - values near 1.0 indicate a strong positive association between the rankings, and
 - values near -1.0 indicate a strong negative association between the rankings.

Rank Correlation • Spearman Rank-Correlation Coefficient, r_s

$$r_{s} = 1 - \frac{6\sum d_{i}^{2}}{n(n^{2} - 1)}$$

where: n = number of items being ranked x_i = rank of item i with respect to one variable y_i = rank of item i with respect to a second variable $d_i = x_i - y_i$ Test for Significant Rank Correlation We may want to use sample results to make an inference about the population rank correlation p_s .

To do so, we must test the hypotheses:

 $H_0: p_s = 0$ (No rank correlation exists) $H_a: p_s \neq 0$ (Rank correlation exists)

Rank Correlation \Box Sampling Distribution of r_s when $p_s = 0$

🕨 🦻 Mean



Standard Deviation

$$\sigma_{r_s} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}}$$

Distribution Form

Approximately normal, provided $n \ge 10$

- Example: Crennor Investors
 - Crennor Investors provides a portfolio management service for its clients. Two of Crennor's analysts ranked ten investments as shown on the next slide. Use rank correlation, with $\alpha = .10$, to comment on the agreement of the t



comment on the agreement of the two analysts' rankings.

- Example: Crennor Investors
- Analysts' Rankings



Investment	Α	В	С	D	Ε	F	G	Η	Ι	J
Analyst #1	1	4	9	8	6	3	5	7	2	10
Analyst #2	1	5	6	2	9	7	3	10	4	8

• Hypotheses

 $H_0: p_s = 0 \quad \text{(No rank correlation exists)} \\ H_a: p_s \neq 0 \quad \text{(Rank correlation exists)}$



	Analyst #1	Analyst #2		
<u>Investment</u>	Ranking	Ranking	Differ.	(Differ.) ²
А	1	1	0	0
В	4	5	-1	1
С	9	6	3	9
D	8	2	6	36
Е	6	9	-3	9
F	3	7	-4	16
G	5	3	2	4
Н	7	10	-3	9
Ι	2	4	-2	4
J	10	8	2	4
			Su	m = 92

Sampling Distribution of *r_s* Assuming No Rank Correlation







With .10 level of significance: Reject H_0 if *p*-value $\leq .10$

• Test Statistic

$$r_s = 1 - \frac{6\sum d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)} = 1 - \frac{6(92)}{10(100 - 1)} = 0.4424$$

$$z = (r_s - \mu_r) / \sigma_r = (.4424 - 0) / .3333 = 1.33$$

▶□ *p*-Value



> Do no reject H_0 . The *p*-value > α . There is not a significant rank correlation. The two analysts are not showing agreement in their ranking of the risk associated with the different investments.

Tools to support data analysis

- Spreadsheet simple to use, basic graphs
- Statistical packages, e.g. SPSS
- Qualitative data analysis tools
 - Categorization and theme-based analysis
 - Quantitative analysis of text-based data
- Nvivo and Atlas.ti support qualitative data analysis
- CAQDAS Networking Project, based at the University of Surrey (<u>http://caqdas.soc.surrey.ac.uk/</u>)