

UNIT-11

Definition :-

Efficiency :-

The estimates which are confined to unbiasedness will in general lead to existance of more than one consistent estimator of a parameter. Hence there is a necessity of a criterion to choose between the estimators with common property of consistency. Such a criterion which is based on variance of the sampling distribution of estimators is known as efficiency.

If T_1 and T_2 are two consistent estimator of certain parameter. We have.

$V(T_1) < V(T_2)$ for all n then T_1 is more efficient than T_2 for all sample size.

Example :- Consider normal distribution in sampling from normal population $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ where σ^2 is known and sample mean \bar{x} is unbiased and consistent estimator.

By symmetry sample median (M_d) is also unbiased and also it is consistent as $V(M_d) = \frac{1}{4n} \frac{2\pi\sigma^2}{\pi^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2n}$

$V(M_d) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ hence it is consistent estimator of μ .

hence to find out best among two estimators (\bar{x} and md) efficiency is used.

$$V(\bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\text{for large } n \quad V(md) = \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{2n} = 1.57(\sigma^2/n)$$

as $V(\bar{x}) < V(md)$ we conclude sample mean is more efficient estimator of μ than sample median

Most Efficient Estimator:

If T_1 is the most efficient estimator with variance V_1 and T_2 is any other estimator with variance V_2 , then the efficiency E of T_2 is defined as:

$$E = \frac{V_1}{V_2}$$

Obviously, E cannot exceed unity

Example:-

A random sample $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ of size 5 is drawn from a normal population with unknown mean μ . Consider the following estimators to estimate μ .

$$(i) \quad t_1 = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5}{5}$$

$$(ii) \quad t_2 = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3}{2}$$

$$(iii) \quad t_3 = \frac{2x_1 + x_2 + \lambda x_3}{3}$$

Where λ is such that t_3 is an unbiased estimator of μ .

Find λ Are t_1 and t_2 unbiased? Also giving reasons, the estimator which is best among t_1, t_2 & t_3

Solution:

$$E(x_i) = \mu, \quad \text{Var}(x_i) = \sigma^2, \quad \text{Cov}(x_i, x_j) = 0 \\ (i \neq j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$(i) \quad E(t_1) = E\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5}{5}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} E(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5)$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} E(\sum x_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} \sum E(x_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} \cdot 5\mu$$

$$\boxed{E(t_1) = \mu}$$

$$(ii) \quad E(t_2) = E\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right) + E(x_3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} E(x_1 + x_2) + E(x_3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\mu + \mu) + \mu$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\mu + \mu$$

$$\boxed{E(t_2) = 2\mu}$$

t_2 is not an unbiased estimator of μ .

(ii) $E(t_3) = \mu$. (t_3 is unbiased estimator of μ)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{3} E(2x_1 + x_2 + \lambda x_3) = \mu.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{3} 2E(x_1) + E(x_2) + \lambda E(x_3) = \mu.$$

$$\Rightarrow 2\mu + \mu + \lambda\mu = 3\mu.$$

$$\Rightarrow 3\mu + \lambda\mu = 3\mu.$$

$$\lambda\mu = 0$$

$$\boxed{\lambda = 0}$$

$$V(t_1) = \frac{1}{25} \{ V(x_1) + V(x_2) + V(x_3) + V(x_4) + V(x_5) \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{25} \{ \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{25} \times 5\sigma^2$$

$$\boxed{V(t_1) = \frac{1}{5} \sigma^2}$$

$$V(t_2) = \frac{1}{4} \{ V(x_1) + V(x_2) \} + V(x_3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \times 2\sigma^2 + \sigma^2$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 + \sigma^2$$

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{2} + \sigma^2$$

$$= \frac{3}{2} \sigma^2$$

$$V(t_3) = \frac{1}{9} \{ 4V(x_1) + V(x_2) \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{9} \{ 4\sigma^2 + \sigma^2 \} \Rightarrow \frac{5\sigma^2}{9}$$

$V(t_1)$ is least, t_1 is the best estimator of μ .

Example: 2 X_1, X_2 and X_3 is a random sample size 3

from a population with mean value μ and variance σ^2 .

T_1, T_2, T_3 are the estimators used to estimate mean

value μ , where (i) $T_1 = X_1 + X_2 - X_3$ (ii) $T_2 = 2X_1 + 3X_3 - 4X_2$

and (iii) $T_3 = \frac{1}{3}(\lambda X_1 + X_2 + X_3)$

(i) Are T_1 and T_2 unbiased estimators?

(ii) Find the value of λ such that T_3 is unbiased estimator of μ .

(iii) With this value of λ is T_3 a consistent estimator?

(iv) Which is the best estimator?

Solution:

Since X_1, X_2, X_3 is a random sample from a population with mean μ and variance σ^2

$$E(X_i) = \mu, \quad \text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = 0, \quad (i \neq j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$(i) \quad E(T_1) = E(X_1 + X_2 - X_3)$$

$$= E(X_1) + E(X_2) - E(X_3)$$

$$= \mu + \mu - \mu$$

$$\boxed{E(T_1) = \mu}$$

$$(i) E(T_2) = E(2X_1 - 4X_2 + 3X_3)$$

$$= 2E(X_1) - 4E(X_2) + 3E(X_3)$$

$$= 2\mu - 4\mu + 3\mu.$$

$$\boxed{E(T_2) = \mu}$$

$$E(T_3) = \mu.$$

$$(ii) E(T_3) = E\left[\frac{1}{3}(\lambda X_1 + X_2 + X_3)\right]$$

$$\mu = \frac{1}{3} \lambda E(X_1) + E(X_2) + E(X_3)$$

$$\mu = \frac{1}{3} \lambda \mu + \mu + \mu$$

$$3\mu = \lambda \mu + 2\mu.$$

$$\mu = \lambda \mu.$$

$$\boxed{\lambda = 1}$$

With $\lambda = 1$, $T_3 = \frac{1}{3}(X_1 + X_2 + X_3) = \bar{X}$. Since sample mean is a consistent estimator of population mean μ , by weak law of large numbers, T_3 is a consistent estimator of μ .

(iv)

$$\text{Var}(T_1) = \text{Var}(X_1) + \text{Var}(X_2) + \text{Var}(X_3) = 3\sigma^2$$

$$\text{Var}(T_2) = 4\text{Var}(X_1) + 16\text{Var}(X_2) + 9\text{Var}(X_3) = 29\sigma^2$$

$$\text{Var}(T_3) = \frac{1}{9} [\text{Var}(X_1) + \text{Var}(X_2) + \text{Var}(X_3)] = \frac{1}{3}\sigma^2$$

$\text{Var}(T_3)$ is minimum, T_3 is best estimator.

Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimators:

of a statistic $T = T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ based on

sample of size n is such that:

(i) T is unbiased for $g(\theta)$, for all $\theta \in \Theta$ and

(ii) it has the smallest variance among the class of all

unbiased estimators of $g(\theta)$, the T is called the minimum

variance unbiased estimator of $g(\theta)$

$$E_\theta(T) = g(\theta) \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta$$

$$\text{Var}_\theta(T) \leq \text{Var}_\theta(T_1) \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta$$

Theorem: An M.V.U is unique in the concerning M.V.U

estimators. Hence that if T_1 and T_2 are M.V.U estimators

for $g(\theta)$, then $T_1 = T_2$ almost surely.

Solution:

$$E_{\theta_0}(T_1) = E_{\theta_0}(T_2) = g(\theta_0), \text{ for all } \theta_0 \in \Theta.$$

$$\text{Var}_\theta(T_1) = \text{Var}_\theta(T_2), \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta.$$

Consider a new estimator, $T = \frac{1}{2}(T_1 + T_2)$ which is also

unbiased since

$$E(T) = \frac{1}{2} \{ E(T_1) + E(T_2) \} = g(\theta_0)$$

$$\text{Var}(T) = \text{Var} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (T_1 + T_2) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \{ \text{Var}(T_1) + \text{Var}(T_2) \}$$

$$\text{variance is a square } (a+b)^2 = a^2 + b^2 + 2ab$$

∴ variance = variance

$$= \frac{1}{4} \{ \text{var}(y_1) + \text{var}(y_2) + 2 \text{cov}(y_1, y_2) \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \{ \text{var}(y_1) + \text{var}(y_2) + 2 \rho \sqrt{\text{var}(y_1) \text{var}(y_2)} \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \{ \text{var}(y_1) + \text{var}(y_2) + 2 \rho \sqrt{\text{var}(y_1)^2} \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \text{var}(y_1) (1 + 2\rho)$$

$$\text{var}(T) = \frac{1}{4} \text{var}(y_1) (1 + \rho)$$

ρ is khal pearson's co-efficient of correlation

between y_1 and y_2 .

since y_1 is the MVD estimator, $\text{var}(T) \geq \text{var}(y_1)$

substitute VCT value. in above.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \text{var}(y_1) (1 + \rho) \geq \text{var}(y_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{4} (1 + \rho) \geq 1$$

$$\boxed{\rho \geq 1}$$

$|p| \leq 1$, We must have $\rho = 1$, y_1 and y_2 must have

a linear relation

$$y_1 = \alpha + \beta y_2$$

where α and β are constants independent of

x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n but may depend on θ . We may have $\alpha = \alpha(\theta)$,

Taking expectation on both side in linear equation

$$Y_1 = \alpha + \beta Y_2$$

$$E(Y_1) = \alpha + \beta E(Y_2)$$

$$0 = \alpha + \beta \cdot 0$$

$$\text{Var}(Y_1) = \text{Var}(\alpha + \beta Y_2)$$

$$= \beta^2 \text{Var}(\alpha + Y_2)$$

$$= \beta^2 \text{Var}(Y_2)$$

$$1 = \beta^2 \Rightarrow \beta = \pm 1$$

But since $\rho(Y_1, Y_2) = +1$, the co-efficient of regression

of Y_1 on Y_2 must be positive

$$\beta = 1 \Rightarrow \alpha = 0$$

substitute in linear equation

$$Y_1 = \alpha + \beta Y_2$$

$$Y_1 = 0 + 1(Y_2)$$

$$Y_1 = Y_2$$

1) such that for a sample size 'n' drawn from a normal population with mean μ and variance σ^2 . The statistic $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is the most efficient for estimating ' μ ' though is biased.

Solution:

$$E(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{1}{n+1} E[\sum x_i]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum E(x_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1} n \mu.$$

$$= \frac{n}{n+1} \cdot \mu.$$

$$\therefore E(\hat{\mu}) \neq \mu.$$

($\therefore \hat{\mu}$ is a biased estimator of μ)

Now,

$$V(\hat{\mu}) = V\left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum x_i\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^2 V(\sum x_i)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^2 \cdot V(n\bar{x})$$

$$= \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 \cdot V(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \text{①}$$

$$= \left(\frac{n^2}{n+1}\right)^2 \cdot \sigma^2/n$$

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum x_i}{n} &= \bar{x} \\ n\bar{x} &= \sum x_i \end{aligned}}$$

then,

$$\underline{V(\hat{\mu})} < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow v(\hat{\mu}) < v(\bar{x}) \longrightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

With Respect to:

$$v(x \text{ median}) = \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{2n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v(\bar{x}) / v(x \text{ median}) &= \frac{\sigma^2/n}{\pi\sigma^2/2n} \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow v(\bar{x}) < v(x \text{ median}) \longrightarrow \textcircled{3}$$

From $\textcircled{2}$ & $\textcircled{3}$ we conclude that $\hat{\mu}$ is most efficient estimator of μ .

- 2) Consider a random sample of size 'n' from a normal population with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Suggest any two consistent estimator μ and hence identify an efficient estimator.

Solution:

$$X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$\bar{x} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$$

$$(x_{\text{med}}) \sim N(\mu, \pi\sigma^2/2n)$$

$$E(\bar{x}) = \mu \longrightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

$$V(\bar{x}) = \sigma^2/n, \quad V(\bar{x}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

From (1) & (2) we say that \bar{x} is a consistent estimator of μ .

$$(\bar{x} \text{ med}) \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/2n) \text{ and}$$

$$E(\bar{x} \text{ med}) = \mu \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$V(\bar{x} \text{ med}) = \sigma^2/2n$$

$$V(\bar{x} \text{ med}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

From (3) & (4) we say that

$(\bar{x} \text{ median})$ is a consistent estimator of

$$V(\bar{x}) = \sigma^2/n, \quad V(\bar{x} \text{ median}) = \sigma^2/2n$$

$$\frac{V(\bar{x})}{V(\bar{x} \text{ median})} < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad V(\bar{x}) < V(\bar{x} \text{ median})$$

\bar{x} is an efficient estimator of μ .

$$\bar{x} \text{ median} \Rightarrow \frac{V(\bar{x})}{V(\bar{x} \text{ med})} = \frac{\sigma^2/n}{\sigma^2/2n}$$

$$= 2/\pi < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow 0.6364 < 1$$

Let T_1 & T_2 be unbiased estimators of $f(\theta)$ with co-efficient e_1 and e_2 respectively and $\rho = \rho_0$ be correlation co-efficient between them, then

$$\sqrt{e_1 e_2} = \sqrt{(1-e_1)(1-e_2)} \leq \rho \leq \sqrt{e_1 e_2} + \sqrt{(1-e_1)(1-e_2)}$$

Solution:

Let T be minimum variance unbiased estimator of $f(\theta)$

As given T_1 & T_2 are unbiased estimators.

$$E(T_1) = f(\theta) = E(T_2) \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

$$e_1 = \frac{V_0(T)}{V_0(T_1)} = \frac{V}{V_1}$$

$$\Rightarrow V_1 = \frac{V}{e_1}$$

$$e_2 = \frac{V_0(T)}{V_0(T_2)} = \frac{V}{V_2}$$

$$\Rightarrow V_2 = \frac{V}{e_2}$$

Now consider another estimator

$$\hat{T}_3 = \lambda T_1 + \mu T_2$$

which is also unbiased estimator

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{T}_3) &= E(\lambda T_1 + \mu T_2) \\ &= (\lambda + \mu) \cdot E(T_1 + T_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$E(T_3) = (\lambda + \mu) \cdot f(0)$$

$$V(T_3) = V(\lambda T_1) + \mu T_2$$

$$= \lambda^2 \cdot V(T_1) + \mu^2 \cdot V(T_2) + 2\lambda\mu \text{Cov}(T_1, T_2)$$

$$= V\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{e_1} + \frac{\mu^2}{e_2} + 2\lambda\mu \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{e_1 e_2}}\right)$$

$V(T_3) \geq V$ as V is min variance.

$$\dots \frac{\lambda^2}{e_1} + \frac{\mu^2}{e_2} + \frac{2\rho\lambda\mu}{\sqrt{e_1 e_2}} \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\lambda^2}{e_1} + \frac{\mu^2}{e_2} + \frac{2\rho\lambda\mu}{\sqrt{e_1 e_2}} \geq (\lambda + \mu)^2$$

$$\frac{\lambda^2}{e_1} + \frac{\mu^2}{e_2} + \frac{2\rho\lambda\mu}{\sqrt{e_1 e_2}} \geq \lambda^2 + \mu^2 + 2\lambda\mu$$

$$\frac{\lambda^2}{e_1} + \frac{\mu^2}{e_2} + \frac{2\rho\lambda\mu}{\sqrt{e_1 e_2}} - \lambda^2 - \mu^2 - 2\lambda\mu \geq 0$$

$$\lambda^2 \left(\frac{1}{e_1} - 1\right) + \mu^2 \left(\frac{1}{e_2} - 1\right) + 2\lambda\mu \left(\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{e_1 e_2}} - 1\right) \geq 0$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{e_1} - 1\right) \lambda^2 + \left(\frac{1}{e_2} - 1\right) \mu^2 + 2\lambda\mu \left(\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{e_1 e_2}} - 1\right) \geq 0$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{e_1} - 1\right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^2 + \frac{2\lambda\mu}{\mu^2} \left(\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{e_1 e_2}} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{e_2} - 1\right) \frac{\mu^2}{\mu^2} \geq 0$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{e_1} - 1\right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^2 + \frac{2\lambda_0}{\mu} \left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{e_1 e_2}} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{e_2} - 1\right) \geq 0$$

$\rightarrow \textcircled{2}$

In general $e_i < 1 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{e_i} > 1$ (or) $\left(\frac{1}{e_i} - 1\right) > 0$
 $\forall i=1, 2, \dots$

$\textcircled{2}$ is in quadratic form if

$$Ax^2 + Bx + C \geq 0, \forall A > 0, C > 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\text{if } B^2 - 4AC \leq 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{3}$$

using $\textcircled{3}$ we get $\textcircled{2}$ as

$$2^2 \left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{e_1 e_2}} - 1\right)^2 - 4 \left(\frac{1}{e_1} - 1\right) \left(\frac{1}{e_2} - 1\right) \leq 0$$

$$\left(\frac{p - \sqrt{e_1 e_2}}{e_1 e_2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{(1-p_1)}{e_1} \cdot \frac{(1-e_2)}{e_2}\right) \leq 0$$

$$(p - \sqrt{e_1 e_2})^2 - (1-e_1)(1-e_2)$$

$$p^2 - 2\sqrt{e_1 e_2} p + e_1 e_2 - (1 - e_2 - e_1 + e_1 e_2)$$

$$p^2 - 2\sqrt{e_1 e_2} p + e_1 e_2 - 1 + e_2 + e_1 - e_1 e_2$$

$$\Rightarrow p^2 - 2\sqrt{e_1 e_2} p + (e_1 + e_2 + 1) = 0$$

$$Ax^2 + Bx + C$$

$$\therefore \text{here } \boxed{y = p}$$

Roots of the equation:-

$$\boxed{a = 1}, \quad \boxed{b = -2\sqrt{e_1 e_2}}, \quad \boxed{c = (e_1 + e_2 + 1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2\sqrt{e_1 e_2} \pm \sqrt{(-2\sqrt{e_1 e_2})^2 - 4(1) \times (e_1 + e_2 + 1)}}{2(1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2\sqrt{e_1 e_2} \pm 2\sqrt{e_1 e_2 - (e_1 + e_2 + 1)}}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{e_1 e_2} \pm \sqrt{e_1 e_2 - (e_1 + e_2 + 1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{e_1 e_2} \pm \sqrt{e_1 e_2 - e_1 - e_2 - 1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{e_1 e_2} \pm \sqrt{e_2(e_1 - 1) - 1(e_1 - 1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{e_1 e_2} \pm \sqrt{(e_2 - 1)(e_1 - 1)}$$

$$\therefore \sqrt{e_1 e_2} - \sqrt{(e_1 - 1)(e_2 - 1)} \leq \rho \leq \sqrt{e_1 e_2} + \sqrt{(e_1 - 1)(e_2 - 1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{e_1 e_2} - \sqrt{(1 - e_1)(1 - e_2)} \leq \rho \leq \sqrt{e_1 e_2} + \sqrt{(1 - e_1)(1 - e_2)}$$

Theorem: If T_1 is a MVUE estimator of $f(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ and T_2 is any other unbiased estimator of $f(\theta)$ with efficiency $e < 1$ then no unbiased linear combination of T_1 and T_2 can be an MVUE of $f(\theta)$.

Proof:

The linear combination

$$\hat{T} = l_1 T_1 + l_2 T_2$$

will be unbiased estimator of $f(\theta)$, if

$$E(\hat{T}) = l_1 E(T_1) + l_2 E(T_2) = f(\theta) \Rightarrow l_1 + l_2 = 1$$

since $E(T_1) = f(\theta) = E(T_2)$

We have $e = \frac{\text{Var}(T_1)}{\text{Var}(T_2)}$

$$\text{Var}(T_2) = \frac{\text{Var}(T_1)}{e}$$

$$\rho = \rho(T_1, T_2) = \sqrt{e}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{T}) &= l_1^2 \text{Var}(T_1) + l_2^2 \text{Var}(T_2) + 2l_1 l_2 \text{Cov}(T_1, T_2) \\ &= l_1^2 \text{Var}(T_1) + l_2^2 \text{Var}(T_2) + 2l_1 l_2 \rho \sqrt{\text{Var}(T_1) \text{Var}(T_2)} \\ &= l_1^2 \text{Var}(T_1) + l_2^2 \frac{\text{Var}(T_1)}{e} + 2l_1 l_2 \sqrt{\text{Var}(T_1) \text{Var}(T_1)} \frac{1}{e} \\ &= \text{Var}(T_1) \left(l_1^2 + \frac{l_2^2}{e} + 2l_1 l_2 \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{e}} \right) \\ &= \text{Var}(T_1) \left(l_1^2 + 2l_1 l_2 \frac{\sqrt{e}}{\sqrt{e}} + \frac{l_2^2}{e} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \text{Var } T_1 \left(l_1^2 + 2l_1l_2 + \frac{l_2^2}{e} \right) \quad \therefore 0 < e < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{e} > 1$$

$$\therefore \text{Var } T > \text{Var } T_1 \left(l_1^2 + 2l_1l_2 + l_2^2 \right) \quad \therefore (a+b)^2 = a^2 + b^2 + 2ab$$

$$\text{Var } T > \text{Var } T_1 \left(l_1 + l_2 \right)^2$$

$$\text{Var } T > \text{Var}(T_1)$$

$\therefore T$ cannot be MVUE

Example: If T_1 and T_2 be two unbiased estimator of $\theta(0)$ with variance σ_1^2 & σ_2^2 and correlation ρ what is the best linear combination of T_1 & T_2 , and what is its variance.

Solution:

Let T_1 & T_2 be two unbiased estimator of $\theta(0)$.

$$E(T_1) = E(T_2) = \theta(0) \longrightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

Let T be a linear combination of T_1 & T_2

given by $\boxed{T = l_1 T_1 + l_2 T_2}$, where l_1 & l_2 are constant.

$$E(T) = l_1 E(T_1) + l_2 E(T_2)$$

$$= (l_1 + l_2) \theta(0)$$

$\therefore T$ is also an UBE of $\theta(0)$ iff $\boxed{l_1 + l_2 = 1}$

now,

$$\begin{aligned}V(T) &= V(l_1 T_1 + l_2 T_2) \\&= l_1^2 V(T_1) + l_2^2 V(T_2) + 2l_1 l_2 \text{COV}(T_1, T_2) \\&= l_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + l_2^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2l_1 l_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho \rightarrow \textcircled{3}\end{aligned}$$

We want the minimum value

Hence we use minimum principle

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial l_1} V(T) = 0 \Rightarrow 2l_1 \sigma_1^2 + 2l_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow l_1 \sigma_1^2 + l_2 \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial l_2} V(T) = 0 \Rightarrow 2l_2 \sigma_2^2 + 2l_1 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow l_2 \sigma_2^2 + l_1 \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2$$

subtraction of,

$$\Rightarrow l_1 \sigma_1^2 + l_2 \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 - l_2 \sigma_2^2 - l_1 \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2$$

$$\Rightarrow l_1 (\sigma_1^2 - \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2) - l_2 (\sigma_2^2 - \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow l_1 (\sigma_1^2 - \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2) = l_2 (\sigma_2^2 - \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2)$$

$$\frac{l_1}{\sigma_2^2 - \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2} = \frac{l_2}{\sigma_1^2 - \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2}$$

$$\frac{l_1 + l_2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2}$$

$$\therefore l_1 = \frac{\sigma_2^2 - \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2}{\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2}$$

$$l_2 = \frac{\sigma_1^2 - \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2}$$

with these

Values of l_1 & l_2 T given by the (4) is the best unbiased linear combination of T_1 & T_2 and its variance is

$$V(T) = l_1^2\sigma_1^2 + l_2^2\sigma_2^2 + 2l_1l_2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2$$

Sufficiency:-

An estimator is said to be sufficient for a parameter, if it contains all the information in the sample regarding the parameter.

If $T = t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is an estimator of a parameter θ , based on sample x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n of size n from the population with density $f(x; \theta)$ such that the conditional distribution of θ , then T is sufficient estimator for θ .

Eg:- Consider the random samples x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n belongs to Bernoulli population with parameter 'p', $0 < p < 1$

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } p \\ 0 & \text{with probability } q = 1-p \end{cases}$$

$$T = t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n \sim B(n, p)$$

$$P(T=k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The conditional distribution of (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) given T

$$\text{is } P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | T=k) = \frac{P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{P(T=k)}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{p^k (1-p)^{n-k}}{\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}} \\ 0 & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \neq k \end{cases}$$

Since this does not depend 'p' $\therefore T$ is sufficient.

Neyman's Factorisation theorem:-

Necessary and sufficient condition for a distribution to admit sufficient statistic is provide by the factorisation theorem due to Neyman.

Statement: $T = t(x)$ is sufficient for θ iff the joint density function $L(x; \theta)$ of the sample values can be expressed in the form.

$$L = g_{\theta} (t(x)) h(x)$$

Where, $g_{\theta} [t(x)]$ depends on θ and x only through the value of $t(x)$ and $h(x)$ independent of θ .

Here, the likelihood function

$$L(x, \theta) = g(\hat{\theta}, \theta) h(x)$$

Where, $g(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$ is a function of $\hat{\theta}$ and θ

$h(x)$ is a function independent of the parameter θ .

Invariance property of sufficient estimators:

If T is a sufficient estimator for the parameter θ and if $\psi(T)$ is one to one function of T and $\psi(T)$ is sufficient for $\psi(\theta)$.

Examples :-

1) Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be a random sample from a population with pdf.

$$f(x, \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}; \quad 0 < x < 1, \theta > 0$$

Show that $T_1 = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i$ is sufficient for θ .

Solution:

$$f(x, \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1} \quad 0 < x < 1, \theta > 0$$

We know that,

$$L(x_i, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta)$$

$$L(x_i, \theta) = f(x_1, \theta) \cdot f(x_2, \theta) \cdots f(x_n, \theta)$$

$$= \theta x_1^{\theta-1} \cdot \theta x_2^{\theta-1} \cdots \theta x_n^{\theta-1}$$

$$= \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\theta-1}$$

$$= \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\theta} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-1}$$

$$= \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\theta} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

$$L(x_i, \theta) = g\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i, \theta\right) \cdot h(x_i)$$

$$= g(t_1, \theta) \cdot h(x_i)$$

Hence, by factorisation theorem $t_1 = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i$ is

sufficient estimator of θ .

- 2) Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ population. Find sufficient estimators for μ and σ^2

Solution:

Let $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$; $-\infty < \mu < \infty, 0 < \sigma^2 < \infty$

Solution:

The p.d.f of uniform distribution is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} & , 0 \leq x_i \leq \theta \\ 0 & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Let } k(a,b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \leq b \\ 0 & \text{if } a > b \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Then } f(x_i) = \frac{k(0, x_i) k(x_i, \theta)}{\theta}$$

We know that

$$L(x_i, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$$

$$= \frac{k(0, x_1) k(x_1, \theta)}{\theta} \cdot \frac{k(0, x_2) k(x_2, \theta)}{\theta} \dots \frac{k(0, x_n) k(x_n, \theta)}{\theta}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{k(0, x_i) k(x_i, \theta)}{\theta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta^n} \left[k(0, \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i) \cdot k(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i, \theta) \right]$$

$$= g_\theta [t(x)], h(x)$$

$$\text{Where } g_\theta [t(x)] = \frac{k(t(x), \theta)}{\theta^n} = \frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i}{\theta^n}$$

$$h(x) = k \left[0, \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i \right]$$

Hence by factorisation theorem

$T = \text{Max } x_i$ is sufficient estimator for θ

3. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be a random sample from a distribution with p.d.f

$$f(x, \theta) = e^{-(x-\theta)}, \quad \begin{array}{l} 0 < x < \infty \\ -\alpha < \theta < \alpha \end{array}$$

Obtain sufficient statistic for θ .

Solution:

The p.d.f of the given distribution is,

$$f(x, \theta) = e^{-(x-\theta)}$$

We know that,

$$L(x_i, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta)$$

$$= e^{-(x_1-\theta)} \cdot e^{-(x_2-\theta)} \cdots e^{-(x_n-\theta)}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-(x_i-\theta)}$$

$$= e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \cdot e^{n\theta}$$

$$= e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \cdot e^{n\theta}$$

Now consider the order statistic

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n denote the order statistic of the random sample such that $Y_1 < Y_2 < \dots < Y_n$

The p.d.f of the smallest observation is

$$g_1(y_1, \theta) = n [1 - F(y_1)]^{n-1} f(y_1, \theta)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \int_0^x e^{-(x-\theta)} \cdot dx \\ &= \frac{e^{-(x-\theta)}}{-1} \Big|_0^x \\ &= \frac{e^{-(x-\theta)}}{-1} + e^{-(\theta-\theta)} \\ &= 1 - e^{-(x-\theta)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore g_1(y_1, \theta) &= n [1 - F(y_1)]^{n-1} f(y_1) \\ &= n [e^{-(y_1-\theta)}]^{n-1} \cdot e^{-(y_1-\theta)} \\ &= \begin{cases} n \cdot e^{-n(y_1-\theta)}, & 0 < y_1 < \theta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the likelihood function of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n may be written as,

$$L = e^{n\theta} \cdot e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

$$= n \cdot e^{-n(y_1 - \omega)} \cdot e^{\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n e^{-ny_1}}}$$

$$= g_1(\min x_i, \omega) \frac{e^{-\sum x_i}}{n \cdot e^{-n \min x_i}}$$

Hence by criterion the first order statistic

$y_1 = \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a sufficient statistic for

Q.